The distribution of inverses modulo a prime in short intervals

by

S. M. GONEK, G. S. KRISHNASWAMI and V. L. SONDHI (Rochester, NY)

Let $\overline{\nu}$ denote the multiplicative inverse of ν modulo an odd prime p and set

$$\mathcal{N} = \{ \overline{\nu} \; (\operatorname{mod} p) : M < \nu \le M + N \},\$$

where $M \geq 0$ and $N \geq 1$ are integers such that $(M, M + N] \subseteq (0, p)$. The elements of \mathcal{N} are known to be well-distributed in various senses. For instance, C. Cobeli [1] has shown that the fractional parts of representatives of \mathcal{N} divided by p are uniformly distributed (mod 1) when $N \gg p^{1/2+\varepsilon}$.

Here we wish to study the distribution of the elements of \mathcal{N} in "short" intervals (m, m + H], $1 \leq m \leq p$, where H < p. To this end we set

$$f(m,H)=|\{n\in(m,m+H]:n\ (\mathrm{mod}\,p)\in\mathcal{N}\}|$$

(here | | denotes cardinality) and estimate

(1)
$$\mathcal{M}_k(H,p) = \sum_{m=1}^p (f(m,H) - HN/p)^k.$$

Since each element of \mathcal{N} is counted in exactly H of the intervals (m, m+H], $1 \leq m \leq p$, the mean of f(m, H) is

$$\frac{1}{p}\sum_{m=1}^{p}f(m,H) = HN/p.$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{M}_k(H, p)$ is the *k*th moment of f(m, H) about its mean. Now the probability that an integer selected at random from [1, p] is congruent to an element of \mathcal{N} is N/p. Thus, if the "events" $m + h \pmod{p} \in \mathcal{N}, 1 \leq h \leq H$, were independent, we should have

$$\mathcal{M}_k(H,p) = \mu_k(H,N/p)p,$$

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11N69; Secondary 11K31, 11K45, 11T23.

Research of the first author was supported in part by grants from the NSF and NSA.

where $\mu_k(H, P)$ is the kth moment of a binomial random variable X with parameters H and P. That is,

$$\mu_k(H, P) := E((X - HP)^k) = \sum_{h=1}^H \binom{H}{h} P^h (1 - P)^{H-h} (h - HP)^k$$

We note that $\mu_1(H, P) = 0$ and $\mu_2(H, P) = HP(1 - P)$. C. Cobeli [1] has recently shown that

$$\mathcal{M}_2(H,p) = \mu_2(H,N/p)p + O(H^2 p^{1/2} \log^2 p).$$

Our main result extends this to larger values of k.

THEOREM. Let k, N and H be positive integers with $1 \leq N, H < p$. Then

$$\mathcal{M}_{k}(H,p) = \sum_{m=1}^{p} (f(m,H) - NH/p)^{k}$$
$$= \mu_{k}(H,N/p)p + O(H^{k}p^{1/2}\log^{k}p).$$

Here and elsewhere, unless otherwise indicated, implied constants depend on k.

One can show (see Montgomery and Vaughan [3]) that for a fixed k,

$$\mu_k(H,P) \ll (HP)^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} + HP$$

uniformly for $0 \leq P \leq 1$ and H = 1, 2, ... Thus our theorem immediately leads to an upper bound for $\mathcal{M}_k(H, p)$.

COROLLARY 1. Let k, H and N be positive integers with $1 \le H, N < p$. Then

$$\mathcal{M}_k(H,p) \ll p(HN/p)^{[k/2]} + HN + H^k p^{1/2} \log^k p.$$

One can also show (see [3]) that

$$\mu_k = (\nu_k + o(1))(HP(1-P))^{k/2}$$

as $HP(1-P) \to \infty$, where

$$\nu_k = \begin{cases} 1 \cdot 3 \cdot \ldots \cdot (k-1) & \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

denotes the moments of a normal random variable with mean 0 and standard deviation 1. Using this together with our theorem, we obtain

COROLLARY 2. If $H = o(p^{1/(2k)}/\log p)$ and $(HN/p)(1 - N/p) \to \infty$, then

$$\mathcal{M}_k(H,p) = (\nu_k + o(1))p((HN/p)(1 - N/p))^{k/2}.$$

Thus, f(m, H) is approximately normal with mean NH/p and variance (HN/p)(1 - N/p) in appropriate ranges of H and N.

Our final result is an estimate for the moments of gaps between consecutive terms of \mathcal{N} . Let n_1, \ldots, n_N be representatives of the residue classes comprising \mathcal{N} lying in (0, p) and arranged in increasing order. Also set

$$S_{\kappa}(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (n_{i+1} - n_i)^{\kappa}.$$

From Corollary 1 we shall deduce

COROLLARY 3. Let ε be an arbitrarily small positive number and let κ be any positive number less than 3/2. Then

$$S_{\kappa}(p) \ll N(N/p)^{-\kappa}$$

for $1 \leq N < p$ when $0 < \kappa \leq 1$, and for $p^{3/(2(3-\kappa))+\varepsilon} \ll N < p$ when $1 < \kappa < 3/2$. We also have

$$S_{\kappa}(p) \gg N(N/p)^{-\kappa}$$

for $p^{3/4+\varepsilon} \ll N < p$ and all $0 < \kappa < 3/2$. In particular, for $0 < \kappa < 3/2$ we have

$$S_{\kappa}(p) \approx N(N/p)^{-\kappa},$$

provided that $p^{\max\{3/4, 3/(2(3-\kappa))\}+\varepsilon} \ll N < p$.

1. Proof of the Theorem. For the convenience of the reader we state two necessary lemmas without proof. The first, a special case of Theorem 1 in [2], depends on the Riemann hypothesis for curves.

LEMMA 1. Let p, N, and \mathcal{N} , be as above and let a_1, \ldots, a_s be distinct integers (mod p) with $s \leq N$. Then

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \le x \le p \\ x+a_i \pmod{p} \in \mathcal{N} \\ (1 \le i \le s)}} 1 = p(N/p)^s + O(sp^{1/2}\log^s p)$$

uniformly for $1 \leq s \leq N < p$. Here the constant implied by the O-term is absolute.

A proof of our second lemma may be found in Montgomery and Vaughan [3].

LEMMA 2. Let $\mu_k(H, P)$ be as in the Theorem. Then

$$\mu_k(H, P) = \sum_{r=0}^k \binom{k}{r} (-HP)^{k-r} \left(\sum_{t=0}^r \binom{H}{t} S(r, t) t! P^t\right),$$

where S(r,t) denotes a Stirling number of the second kind, that is, the number of partitions of a set of cardinality r into exactly t non-empty subsets.

S. M. Gonek et al.

We now proceed with the proof of the Theorem. Expanding the righthand side of (1) by the binomial theorem and taking the sum over m inside, we find that

(2)
$$\mathcal{M}_k(H,p) = \sum_{r=0}^k \binom{k}{r} (-HN/p)^{k-r} \sum_{m=1}^p f(m,H)^r.$$

Here we use the convention that $f(m, H)^0 = 1$ even when f(m, H) = 0. Let us set

$$M_r(H) = \sum_{m=1}^p f(m, H)^r$$

Then we have $M_0(H) = p$, and for $r \ge 1$,

(3)
$$M_r(H) = \sum_{\substack{x_1=1\\x_1 \pmod{p} \in \mathcal{N}}}^p \dots \sum_{\substack{x_r=1\\x_r \pmod{p} \in \mathcal{N}}}^p \sum_{\substack{m=1\\m \le x_i \le m+H\\(1 \le i \le r)}}^p 1.$$

Let \mathcal{B} be a subset of $t \leq r$ distinct elements of [1, p), each of which is congruent (mod p) to some element of \mathcal{N} . By the definition of S(r, t), the Stirling number of the second kind, we see that the number of maps from a set of cardinality r onto a set of cardinality t is S(r, t)t!. Hence, this is also the number of terms in the r outer sums on the right-hand side of (3) for which $\{x_1, \ldots, x_r\} = \mathcal{B}$. We therefore obtain

$$M_r(H) = \sum_{t=1}^r S(r,t)t! \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{B} \pmod{p} \subseteq \mathcal{N} \\ |\mathcal{B}|=t}} \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ \mathcal{B} \subseteq (m,m+H]}}^p 1.$$

Here $\mathcal{B} \pmod{p} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ means that $x \pmod{p} \in \mathcal{N}$ for each $x \in \mathcal{B}$. Writing

$$d(\mathcal{B}) = \max_{x_i, x_j \in \mathcal{B}} |x_i - x_j|,$$

we see that the innermost sum equals $\max(0, H - d(\mathcal{B}))$. Thus, grouping terms according to the size of $d(\mathcal{B})$ as well as t, we find that

(4)
$$M_{r}(H) = \sum_{t=1}^{r} S(r,t)t! \sum_{d=0}^{H-1} (H-d) \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{N} \\ |\mathcal{B}| = t \\ d(\mathcal{B}) = d}} 1$$
$$= \sum_{t=1}^{r} S(r,t)t! \sum_{d=0}^{H-1} (H-d)N(t,d),$$

say. Note that N(1,0) = N, while N(1,d) = 0 for d > 0. For t > 1, if we set

 $a_1 = 0$ and $a_t = d$, then we find that

$$N(t,d) = \sum_{\substack{1 \le a_2, \dots, a_{t-1} < d \\ a_i \text{ distinct}}} \sum_{\substack{1 \le x \le p \\ x + a_i \pmod{p} \in \mathcal{N} \\ (1 \le i \le t)}} 1.$$

The inner sum equals $p(N/p)^t + O(tp^{1/2}\log^t p)$ by Lemma 1, and this is counted $\binom{d-1}{t-2}$ times by the outer sum. Hence, for t > 1,

$$N(t,d) = p \binom{d-1}{t-2} (N/p)^t + O(d^{t-2}p^{1/2}\log^t p).$$

Note that the implicit constant in the O-term depends on t, so ultimately on k, but not on p or d. Using these estimates in (4), we obtain

$$M_{r}(H) = HN + \sum_{t=2}^{r} S(r, t)t!$$

$$\times \sum_{d=0}^{H-1} (H-d) \left(p \binom{d-1}{t-2} (N/p)^{t} + O(d^{t-2}p^{1/2}\log^{t}p) \right)$$

$$= HN + p \sum_{t=2}^{r} S(r, t)t! (N/p)^{t} \sum_{d=0}^{H-1} (H-d) \binom{d-1}{t-2}$$

$$+ O(H^{r}p^{1/2}\log^{r}p)$$

for $r \ge 1$. Here it is to be understood that if r = 1 the sum vanishes.

The sum over d may be evaluated using the relation $\binom{i}{j} = \frac{i}{j} \binom{i-1}{j-1}$ and the identity

$$\binom{0}{j} + \binom{1}{j} + \ldots + \binom{l}{j} = \binom{l+1}{j+1}.$$

From these we find that

$$\sum_{d=0}^{H} (H-d) \binom{d-1}{t-1} = \binom{H}{t},$$

so that

$$M_r(H) = HN + p \sum_{t=2}^r S(r,t)t! \left(N/p\right)^t \binom{H}{t} + O(H^r p^{1/2} \log^r p).$$

As S(r,1) = 1 for $r \ge 1$, we can include the term HN in the sum by beginning it at t = 1. Moreover, since S(r,0) = 0 for $r \ge 1$, we may add the term t = 0 in as well. Thus, we find that when $r \ge 1$,

(5)
$$M_r(H) = p \sum_{t=0}^r S(r,t)t! \left(N/p\right)^t \binom{H}{t} + O(H^r p^{1/2} \log^r p).$$

Finally, using the convention S(0,0) = 1 and recalling our initial observation that $M_0(H) = p$, we see that (5) actually holds for $r \ge 0$.

Using this in (2) and then applying Lemma 2, we obtain

$$\mathcal{M}_{k}(H,p) = p \sum_{r=0}^{k} {\binom{k}{r}} (-HN/p)^{k-r} \sum_{t=0}^{r} {\binom{H}{t}} S(r,t)t! (N/p)^{t} + O(H^{k}p^{1/2}\log^{k}p) = p\mu_{k}(H,N/p) + O(H^{k}p^{1/2}\log^{k}p).$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem.

2. Proof of Corollary 3. To prove Corollary 3 we modify an argument of Montgomery and Vaughan [3]. Set

$$D(x) = \sum_{\substack{i=1\\n_{i+1}-n_i > x}}^{N-1} 1.$$

Then we have

(6)
$$S_{\kappa}(p) = \kappa \int_{0}^{p} D(x) x^{\kappa-1} dx.$$

We first establish the upper bound. For $0 \le x \le 4p/N$ we use the trivial estimate $D(x) \le N$ and find that

(7)
$$\kappa \int_{0}^{4p/N} D(x) x^{\kappa-1} dx \le N(4p/N)^{\kappa} \ll N\left(\frac{N}{p}\right)^{-\kappa}.$$

We bound D(x) for larger x by noting that if $n_{i+1} - n_i > H$, then

$$\sum_{\substack{m < n < m + H \\ n \, (\mathrm{mod} \, p) \in \mathcal{N}}} 1 - HN/p = -HN/p$$

for $n_i \leq m < n_{i+1} - H$. Thus, if k is a non-negative integer, we have

(8)
$$\sum_{\substack{i=1\\n_{i+1}-n_i>H}}^{N-1} (n_{i+1}-n_i-H)(HN/p)^{2k} \le \mathcal{M}_{2k}(H,p).$$

Now suppose that $HN \ge p$. Then by Corollary 1 the right-hand side of (8) is

$$\ll p(HN/p)^k + H^{2k}p^{1/2}\log^{2k}p.$$

Moreover, by the definition of $\mathcal{M}_k(H, p)$ this also holds when k = 0. On the

other hand, taking H = [x/2], we see that the left-hand side of (8) is

$$\geq \sum_{\substack{i=1\\n_{i+1}-n_i>x}}^{N-1} (n_{i+1}-n_i-H)(HN/p)^{2k} \geq H(HN/p)^{2k}D(x).$$

Thus, for $x \ge 4p/N$ we find that

$$D(x) \ll N(xN/p)^{-k-1} + (N/p)^{-2k}x^{-1}p^{1/2}\log^{2k}p$$

Suppose first that $0 < \kappa < 1$. Taking k = 0 in the above, we obtain

(9)
$$\int_{4p/N}^{p} D(x) x^{\kappa-1} dx \ll p \int_{4p/N}^{p} x^{\kappa-2} dx \ll N(N/p)^{-\kappa}$$

for $1 \leq N < p$. On the other hand, if $\kappa > 1$, we choose k large enough so that $k + 1 > \kappa$ (so, in particular, $k \geq 1$), and obtain

$$\int_{4p/N}^{p} D(x) x^{\kappa-1} dx \ll N(N/p)^{-k-1} \int_{4p/N}^{p} x^{\kappa-k-2} dx + (N/p)^{-2k} p^{1/2} \log^{2k} p \int_{4p/N}^{p} x^{\kappa-2} dx \ll N(N/p)^{-\kappa} (1 + (N/p)^{\kappa-2k-1} p^{\kappa-3/2} \log^{2k} p).$$

Hence, we deduce in this case also that

(10)
$$\int_{4p/N}^{p} D(x) x^{\kappa-1} dx \ll N(N/p)^{-\kappa},$$

provided that

$$p^{\frac{2k-1/2}{2k-\kappa+1}} \log^{\frac{2k}{2k-\kappa+1}} p \le N \kappa.$$

Note that in order for the N-range to be non-trivial when $k \ge 1$, we must have $\kappa < 3/2$. Thus, upon combining (6), (7), (9) and (10), we find

(11)
$$S_{\kappa}(p) \ll N(N/p)^{-\kappa}$$

for $1 \leq N < p$ if $0 < \kappa < 1$, and for $p^{\frac{2k-1/2}{2k-\kappa+1}} \log^{\frac{2k}{2k-\kappa+1}} p \leq N < p$ if $1 < \kappa < 3/2$, where k is any integer such that $k+1 > \kappa$. When $1 < \kappa < 3/2$, we achieve the largest N-range by minimizing the exponent

$$\frac{2k - 1/2}{2k - \kappa + 1} = 1 - \frac{3/2 - \kappa}{2k - \kappa + 1}$$

of p subject to $k + 1 > \kappa$. The minimum clearly occurs when k = 1, so we obtain (11) for $p^{3/(2(3-\kappa))} \log^{2/(3-\kappa)} p \leq N < p$. Finally, we note that when $\kappa = 1$, (11) follows from the definition of $S_1(p)$ for any N such that $1 \leq N < p$. This gives the upper bound stated in Corollary 3. To treat the lower bound we again consider the cases $0 < \kappa < 1$ and $\kappa \ge 1$ separately. First suppose that $\kappa \ge 1$. By Hölder's inequality we have (12) $S_1(p)^{\kappa} \le N^{\kappa-1} S_{\kappa}(p),$

and we require a lower bound for $S_1(p) = n_N - n_1$. By Lemma 1 with $s = 2, a_1 = 0$, and $a_2 = (p-1)/2$, say, it follows that there is a pair of elements of \mathcal{N} that are $\gg p$ apart, provided that $N \gg p^{3/4} \log p$. Hence $S_1(p) \gg p$ for such N, and we deduce from (11) that

$$S_{\kappa}(p) \gg N\left(\frac{N}{p}\right)^{-\kappa}$$

For $0 < \kappa < 1$ we apply Hölder's inequality in the form

$$S_1(p)^q \le S_{\kappa}(p)(S_{(q-\kappa)/(q-1)}(p))^{q-1}$$

where q is any real number greater than 1. We have $S_1(p) \gg p$ when $N \gg p^{3/4} \log p$, as before, and also the upper bound

$$S_{(q-\kappa)/(q-1)}(p) \ll N(N/p)^{-(q-\kappa)/(q-1)}$$

for $1 < (q - \kappa)/(q - 1) < 3/2$ and $p^{\frac{3}{2}(3 - \frac{q - \kappa}{q - 1}) + \varepsilon/2} \ll N < p$. It therefore follows, on taking q sufficiently large, that

$$S_{\kappa}(p) \gg p^q / (N^{q-1}(N/p)^{\kappa-q}) = N(N/p)^{-\kappa}$$

for $p^{3/4+\varepsilon} \ll N < p$. This gives the required lower bound.

The final assertion of the corollary follows immediately on combining the upper and lower bounds for $S_{\kappa}(p)$.

References

- C. I. Cobeli, Topics in the distribution of inverses (mod q), doctoral dissertation, University of Rochester, 1997.
- [2] C. I. Cobeli, S. M. Gonek and A. Zaharescu, *The distribution of inverses modulo a prime*, to appear.
- [3] H. L. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan, On the distribution of reduced residues, Ann. of Math. 123 (1986), 311–333.

Department of Mathematics University of Rochester Rochester, NY 14627, U.S.A. E-mail: gonek@math.rohester.edu

Received on 30.10.2000

(3907)