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## 1 Second-order linear differential equation

- Consider the following equation (named after Airy) with variable coeffcients:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} y}{d z^{2}}-z y(z)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will seek a solution $y(z)$ in the form of a power series. Equations of this type are linear and homogeneous. Thus we set,

$$
y(z)=a_{0}+a_{1} z+a_{2} z^{2}+. .
$$

We simply substitute the series in the equation and equate like powers:

$$
\begin{aligned}
2.1 a_{2} & =0 \\
3.2 a_{3}-a_{0} & =0 \\
4.3 a_{4}-a_{1} & =0 \\
5.4 a_{5}-a_{2} & =0 \\
\cdots & \\
m(m-1) a_{m}-a_{m-3} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

We see that $a_{2}=0 ; a_{5}=0 ; a_{8}=0 \ldots$ Suppose we choose $a_{0}=1 ; a_{1}=0$. It follows that $a_{4}=a_{7}=a_{10}=. .=0$ too.

### 1.2 Series solutions

- However, the recurrence relations give,

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{3} & =\frac{1}{3.2} \\
a_{6} & =\frac{a_{3}}{6.5}=\frac{1}{6.5 .3 .2} \\
a_{9} & =\frac{a_{6}}{9.8}=\frac{1}{9.8 \cdot 6 \cdot 5 \cdot 3.2} \\
a_{3 n} & =\frac{a_{3 n-3}^{3 n(3 n-1)}}{3 n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the solution (denoted by $y_{1}(z)$ ) is obtained as a power series:

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{1}(z) & =1+\frac{z^{3}}{2.3}+\frac{z^{6}}{2 \cdot 3.5 \cdot 6}+\frac{z^{9}}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 8.9} . . \\
& =1+\frac{\left(z^{3}\right)}{2.3}+\frac{\left(z^{3}\right)^{2}}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 6}+\frac{\left(z^{3}\right)^{3}}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 6.8 .9}+
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easily shown by the Ratio Test that this series is absolutely convergent for all $z$ and thus represents an entire function. It is called the Airy function. It satisfies the equation and the conditions, $y(0)=1 ; y^{\prime}(0)=0$.

### 1.3 Airy functions

- But this is not all! We could have chosen $a_{0}=0 ; a_{1}=1$. Then the recursion relations imply that, $a_{3}=a_{6}=. .=a_{3 n}=0$. However, we would have had recurrence relations like,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{4}=\frac{1}{4.3} \\
& a_{7}=\frac{a_{4}}{7.6}=\frac{1}{7.6 .4 .3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Leading to the power series:

$$
y_{2}(z)=z+\frac{z^{4}}{3.4}+\frac{z^{7}}{3.4 .6 .7} .
$$

$y_{2}(z)$, we see that $y_{2}(0)=0 ; y_{2}^{\prime}(0)=1$. It is again proved by the ratio test that $y_{2}(z)$ is also an entire function and satisfies Airy's equation. It is plain that if $a, b$ are arbitrary constants, $a y_{2}(z)+b y_{2}(z)$ is also a solution of the Airy equation.

- It is clear that $y_{1}(z)$ and $y_{2}(z)$ defined by the above power series cannot be constant, non-zero multiples of each other. Suppose, for example, $y_{1}(z)=k y_{2}(z)$. This equation would fail to apply at $z=0$ where the RHS vanishes but the LHS would not. They are a fundamental set of solutions, and all solutions can be expressed as a linear combination of these two.


# 1 General theory of Frobenius and Fuchs 

- Definition 14.1: A linear, homogeneous, second-order differential equation in the complex domain takes the following standard form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} f}{d z^{2}}+p(z) \frac{d f}{d z}+q(z) f=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p(z), q(z)$ are holomorphic (ie, single-valued, analytic) functions except for certain singular points. Any point $z$ where they are both analytic is said to be an ordinary point of the differential equation. Any point which is a singular point of these coefficient functions is called a singularity of the equation. At such points, $p(z), q(z)$ can have poles or isolated essential singularities. I now present the principal results of the Frobenius-Fuchs theory without rigorous proofs.

- Theorem 14.1: If $z=0$ is an ordinary point of the differential equation Eq.(2), a convergent power series solution, single-valued and analytic in $z$ can be found taking on any given initial values, $y(0) ; y^{\prime}(0)$. The series converges in a disk which must have at least one singularity of the equation on it. The solution represented by the power series is unique. If $p(z), q(z)$ are entire functions, so is the solution.
- The power series solutions and the proof of the above theorem can be obtained by the following method of integral equations/iterative approximations due to Picard.


### 2.2 Picard's method

- We recast the second-order Eq.(2) as an equivalent firt-order system: thus we put, $w(z)=y^{\prime}(z)$ and consider the system:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d y}{d z} & =w(z)  \tag{3}\\
\frac{d w}{d z} & =-p(z) w(z)-q(z) y(z) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

The initial data are: $y(0)=y_{0} ; w(0)=y_{0}^{\prime}$. If $y(z), w(z)$ are any pair of analytic functions in the disk $D:|z|<R$, where the nearest singularity of $p(z), q(z)$ is at a radius $R$, the RHS would be analytic functions. We may therefore formally integrate the equations (along any path lying entirely in $D$, thanks to Cauchy's theorem!) and apply the boundary conditions to obtain the linear, inhomogeneous integral equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
y(z) & =y_{0}+\int_{0}^{z} w(u) d u  \tag{5}\\
w(z) & =y_{0}^{\prime}-\int_{0}^{z}[p(u) w(u)+q(u) y(u)] d u \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Picard's method of successive iterations starts with the crudest approximation to $y(z), w(z)$, namely $y^{(0)}(z)=y_{0} ; w^{(0)}(z)=w_{0}=y_{0}^{\prime}$. Substituting on the RHS of Eqs. $(5,6)$, we get the next approximants:

## Picard's method: higher approximation

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{(1)}(z) & =y_{0}+\int_{0}^{z} w^{(0)}(u) d u \\
w^{(1)}(z) & =w_{0}-\int_{0}^{z}\left[p(u) w^{(0)}(u)+q(u) y^{(0)}(u)\right] d u
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, we see that in general we will have the equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{(n+1)}(z) & =y_{0}+\int_{0}^{z} w^{(n)}(u) d u \\
w^{(n+1)}(z) & =w_{0}-\int_{0}^{z}\left[p(u) w^{(n)}(u)+q(u) y^{(n)}(u)\right] d u
\end{aligned}
$$

- Observe that that the zeroth approximations (ie the initial data) are analytic and this implies by induction that every pair $y^{(n)}(z) ; w^{(n)}(z)$ are also analytic and take on the correct initial conditions. If we can take the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ on both sides of the above equations and the limits exist, we will have proved the required existence theorem!
- We obviously have, $\left[y^{(n+1)}(z)-y^{(n)}(z)\right]=\int_{0}^{z}\left[w^{(n)}(u)-w^{(n-1)}(u)\right] d u$ and $\left[w^{(n+1)}(z)-w^{(n)}(z)\right]=$
$-\int_{0}^{z}\left[p(u)\left(w^{(n)}(u)-w^{(n-1)}(u)\right)+q(u)\left(y^{(n)}(u)-y^{(n-1)}(u)\right)\right] d u$.


### 2.4 Picard estimates

- Let $z=|z| e^{i \theta} ; u=t e^{i \theta}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|y^{(n+1)}\left(|z| e^{i \theta}\right)-y^{(n)}\left(|z| e^{i \theta}\right)\right| & \leq \int_{0}^{|z|}\left|w^{(n)}\left(t e^{i \theta}\right)-w^{(n-1)}\left(t e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d t \\
\left|w^{(n+1)}\left(|z| e^{i \theta}\right)-w^{(n)}\left(|z| e^{i \theta}\right)\right| & \leq \int_{0}^{|z|}\left[\mid p\left(t e^{i \theta}\right)\left(w^{(n)}-w^{(n-1)}\right)+q\left(t e^{i \theta}\right)\left(y^{(n)}-y^{(n-1)}\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

We set
$\phi_{n+1}(|z|)=\left|y^{(n+1)}\left(|z| e^{i \theta}\right)-y^{(n)}\left(|z| e^{i \theta}\right)\right| ; \psi_{n+1}(|z|)=\left|w^{(n+1)}\left(|z| e^{i \theta}\right)-w^{(n)}\left(|z| e^{i \theta}\right)\right|$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{n+1}(|z|) & \leq \int_{0}^{|z|} \psi_{n}(t) d t<\int_{0}^{|z|}\left(\phi_{n}(t)+\psi_{n}(t)\right) d t \\
\psi_{n+1}(|z|) & \leq M \int_{0}^{|z|}\left[\psi_{n}(t)+\phi_{n}(t)\right] d t \\
\left(\phi_{n+1}(|z|)+\psi_{n+1}(|z|)\right) & \leq(M+1) \int_{0}^{|z|}\left(\phi_{n}(t)+\psi_{n}(t)\right) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

where $|p(z)|<M ;|q(z)|<M$.

### 2.4 Picard's method: convergence

- We "solve" these inequalities "recursively": $\left|\psi_{1}\right| \leq M\left(\left|y_{0}\right|+\left|y_{0}^{\prime}\right|\right)|z| ;\left|\phi_{1}\right| \leq M\left|y_{0}^{\prime}\right||z| \rightarrow$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{1}(t)+\psi_{1}(t) & <M\left(2\left|y_{0}^{\prime}\right|+\left|y_{0}\right|\right) t \\
\phi_{2}(t)+\psi_{2}(t) & <\frac{(M t)^{2}}{2!}\left(2\left|y_{0}^{\prime}\right|+\left|y_{0}\right|\right) \\
. . & \\
\phi_{n}(t)+\psi_{n}(t) & <\frac{(M t)^{n}}{n!}\left(2\left|y_{0}^{\prime}\right|+\left|y_{0}\right|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves that $\operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\psi_{n}(|z|)+\phi_{n}(|z|)\right)=0$. We see that this implies the uniform convergence and hence the analyticity of the infinite sums,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} y^{(n+1)}(z) & =y_{0}+\Sigma_{k=0}^{\infty}\left[y^{(k+1)}(z)-y^{(k)}(z)\right] \\
\operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} w^{(n+1)}(z) & =w_{0}+\Sigma_{k=0}^{\infty}\left[w^{(k+1)}(z)-w^{(k)}(z)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, not only do the solutions exist, but the same technique shows that uniqueness is assured. It is clearly seen that the solutions constructed this way depend linearly on the initial data. Thus choosing $y_{0}=1 ; y_{0}^{\prime}=0$ we can generate a solution pair, $\left(y_{I}(z), w_{I}(z)\right)$. Choosing $y_{0}=0 ; y_{0}^{\prime}=1$ gives a second pair, $\left.y_{I I}(z), w_{I I}(z)\right)$. The functions, $y_{I}(z), y_{I I}(z)$ form a fundamental set of solutions to Eq.(2), in effect proving Theorem 14.1.

### 2.5 Regular singular points

O Definition 14.2: A point $z=a$ is a regular singular point/regular singularity if the functions, $(z-a) p(z),(z-a)^{2} q(z)$ are analytic at the point but at least one of $p(z), q(z)$ has a pole there. If, at $z=a$ either $p$ or $q$ has a singularity which is not regular, the equation is said to have an irregular singular point there.

O The following equation arises in potential theory in cylindrical polars:

$$
\frac{d^{2} f}{d r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{d f}{d r}=0
$$

This equation has no singularity in the finite $r$-plane except at $r=0$. Evidently, the singularity there is a regular one. Note it has two solutions, $f_{I}(r)=1 ; f_{I I}(r)=\ln r$. Note also that the second of these is not a holomorphic function while the first is actually an entire function. This shows that at a regular singularity of the equation not all of the solutions need have singularities. On the other hand, a solution may not be single-valued in the region surrounding the singular point.
Bessel's equation arises in mathematical physics in many contexts and has a regular singularity at $z=0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} y}{d z^{2}}+\frac{1}{z} \frac{d y}{d z}+\left(1-\frac{\nu^{2}}{z^{2}}\right) y=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nu$ is a parameter called order. It often is, but need not necessarily be, an integen $\bar{T}$-p.10/20

### 2.6 Linear independence of solutions

- We know from previous examples that second-order, homogeneous linear ode's have an infinity of solutions which can be expressed as a linear combination (with constant coefficients) of two "fundamental solutions". The following discussion clarifies the issues and general concepts involved. They will be needed in our analysis of solutions near regular singularities of such equations.
- Definition 14.3: If $y_{1}(z), y_{2}(z)$ are any two solutions of a second-order linear differential equation in a common domain, $D$, they are said to be linearly dependent if two non-zero constants $a, b$ exist such that the equation,

$$
a y_{1}(z)+b y_{2}(z)=0
$$

is satisfied at every point in $D$. If no such constants can be found, the solutions are said to be linearly independent in $D$.
Examples: 1. The equation, $\frac{d^{2} y}{d z^{2}}=y$ has solutions, $y_{1}=e^{z} ; y_{2}=e^{-z}$, valid for all finite $z$. It is easy to show that they are linearly independent.
2. The Airy equation (Eq.(1)) has two entire function solutions $y_{0}(z) ; y_{1}(z)$. It follows from the different intial conditions they satisfy at the origin that they are linearly independent. 3. It must be very carefully noted that linear independence does not mean that the solutions are functionally independent! In Example 1 above, we see that $e^{-z}=\frac{1}{e^{z}}$. Thus, each solution can be expressed as a function (in this case reciprocal) of the other.

### 2.7 The Wronskian

- The following theorem gives necessary and sufficient conditions for any two solutions of Eq.(2) to be linearly independent.
- Theorem 14.2: If $y_{1}(z) ; y_{2}(z)$ are any two solutions of Eq.(2) defined in a common domain $D$ of analyticity, they are linearly independent if and only if their Wronskian defined by, $W(z)=y_{1}(z) y_{2}^{\prime}(z)-y_{1}^{\prime}(z) y_{2}(z)$ does not vanish in $D$.
- Proof: Suppose non-zero $a, b$ exist such that $a y_{1}(z)+b y_{2}(z)=0$. Since this equation holds throughout $D$, we may differentiate and obtain, $a y_{1}^{\prime}(z)+b y_{2}^{\prime}(z)=0$ as a consequence, at each point $z \in D$. But this implies that the determinant of the homogeneous linear algebraic equations for the constants $a, b$ must vanish! This determinant is none other than the Wronskian, $W(z)$. Hence, if $y_{1}(z), y_{2}(z)$ are linearly dependent in $D$, then, $W(z)=0$.
Next, suppose $W(z) \neq 0$ in $D$. Then, the homogeneous linear equations,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a y_{1}(z)+b y_{2}(z)=0 \\
& a y_{1}^{\prime}(z)+b y_{2}^{\prime}(z)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

have only the trivial solution, $a=b=0$ at any point in $D$. Hence, the solutions must be linearly independent.

### 2.8 Equation for the Wronskian

- I will derive a remarkable equation satisfied by any two solutions of Eq.(2). Let $y_{1}(z), y_{2}(z)$ be any two solutions in $D$. We know that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{1}^{\prime \prime}+p(z) y_{1}^{\prime}+q(z) y_{1} & =0 \\
y_{2}^{\prime \prime}+p(z) y_{2}^{\prime}+q(z) y_{2} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiply the second equation by $y_{1}(z)$ and the first by $y_{2}(z)$ and subtract the latter from the former to obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[y_{1} y_{2}^{\prime \prime}-y_{2} y_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right]+p\left[y_{1} y_{2}^{\prime}-y_{2} y_{1}^{\prime}\right] } & =0 \text { hence, } \\
\frac{d W}{d z}+p(z) W(z) & =0 \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

This linear, first order, homogeneous equation can be immediately integrated! Thus let us suppose that at some point $z_{0}$, we know $y_{1}(z), y_{2}(z)$. We may immediately calculate $W\left(z_{0}\right)$ there. Equation (8) can be integrated to give,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(z)=W\left(z_{0}\right) e^{-\int_{z_{0}}^{z} p(u) d u} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral may be taken along any path in $D$ where $p(z)$ is assumed to be analytic. This implies that if $W\left(z_{0}\right)$ vanishes, it vanishes everywhere in $D$. If it doesn't vanish at any one point, it can vanish nowhere.

### 3.1 The Bessel equation

- I want to consider the Bessel equation as a case study for the Frobenius-Fuchs series expansion of the solution at a regular singularity. We assume a power series with a new twist and write,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(z)=a_{0} z^{c}+a_{1} z^{c+1}+a_{2} z^{c+2}+. . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is a complex constant to be determined. We substitute in Bessel's Eq.(7) and follow the usual procedure of equating the coefficients of the various powers of $z$ to zero. We then obtain the following set of recurrence relations:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
a_{0}\left[c^{2}-\nu^{2}\right] & =0 \\
a_{1}\left[(c+1)^{2}-\nu^{2}\right] & =0 \\
a_{2}\left[\left(z^{c-2}\right)\right. \\
a_{n}\left[\left(z^{c-1}\right)\right. \\
\left.a_{n}\left[(c+n)^{2}-\nu^{2}\right]+a_{0}\right]+a_{n-2} & =0 \\
\left(z^{c}\right) \\
& =0
\end{array}\left(z^{c+n-2}\right)\right) ~ \$
$$

We can satisfy the first equation choosing $a_{0}=1$ without loss of generality if we set,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{2}=\nu^{2} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is called the indicial equation at the regular singularity. We can solve it easily: $c= \pm \nu$.

### 3.1 Bessel functions

- If the square roots of $\nu^{2}$ have unequal real parts, we shall denote by $+\nu$ the root with the larger real part, the other being $-\nu$. We will assume that the difference of the two roots, $2 \nu$ is not an integer. This implies that $(c+n)^{2}-\nu^{2}$ cannot vanish for any $n>0$. The second equation now requires, $a_{1}=0$ and subsequently $a_{2 n+1}=0 ; n=1,2, \ldots$ We can now solve for the coefficients, $a_{2}, a_{4}, .$. successively as none of the denominators can vanish.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{2}=-\frac{1}{4 \nu+4}=\frac{(-1)}{(\nu+1) \cdot 2^{2}} \\
& a_{4}=\frac{1}{(8 \nu+16)(4 \nu+4)}=\frac{(-1)^{2}}{2!(\nu+1)(\nu+2) \cdot 2^{4}} \\
& a_{6}=-\frac{1}{(12 \nu+36)(8 \nu+4)(4 \nu+4)}=\frac{(-1)^{3}}{3!(\nu+1)(\nu+2)(\nu+3) \cdot 2^{6}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The power series becomes:

$$
y(z ; \nu)=z^{\nu}\left[1-\frac{1}{1!(\nu+1)}\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2!(\nu+1)(\nu+2)}\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{4}-. .\right]
$$

## 2 Bessel functions: standard series solutio

- In the preceding work, we could have taken $a_{0}$ to be an arbitrary non-zero constant. It is conventional to take $a_{0}=\frac{1}{2^{\nu} \Gamma(\nu+1)}$. We then obtain the series solution for the Bessel function of order $\nu$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\nu}(z)=\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{\nu} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{n!\Gamma(\nu+n+1)}\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2 n} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

- We make some important observations:
a) The solution, $y(z ; \nu)$ makes sense for any $+\nu$ since the denominators in the recurrence relations following the indicial equation cannot vanish.
b) If $\nu$ happens to be an integer $J_{\nu}(z)$ is single-valued, otherwise $z^{\nu}$ has a branch point at the regular singularity, $z=0$ of Bessel's equation, Eq.(7). In the latter case, it can be written as $z^{\nu} \Phi_{\nu}\left(z^{2} / 4\right)$, where $\Phi_{\nu}\left(z^{2} / 4\right)$ is an even, entire function, since the series for $\Phi_{\nu}\left(z^{2} / 4\right)$ is absolutely and uniformly convergent for any finite $z$, as can be shown by the Weierstrass $\mathbf{M}$-test and the series for $e^{-z^{2} / 4}$.
c) If $2 \nu$ is not an integer, the series makes sense with the choice $-\nu$. Hence $J_{-\nu}(z)$ is also a valid solution. It is linearly independent of $J_{\nu}(z)$, since the latter tends to zero at the origin whereas $J_{-\nu}(z)$ "blows up" like $z^{-\nu}$.
d) Thus, when $2 \nu$ is not an integer, $J_{ \pm \nu}(z)$ form a fundamental set and any solution can be expressed as a linear combination of these two.


### 3.3 Bessel functions of integer order

- We next consider what happens when $+\nu \geq 0$ is an integer. Consider $\nu=0$ first. We proceed as before and obtain,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{0}(z)=\Sigma_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{(n!)^{2}}\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2 n} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

To find the second, linearly independent solution, we use the following trick: write, $y(z)=J_{0}(z) w(z)$, where $w(z)$ must be determined. Substituting in the Bessel equation of zeroth order,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(J_{0} w\right)^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{z}\left(J_{0} w\right)^{\prime}+J_{0} w & =\left[J_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{z} J_{0}^{\prime}+J_{0}\right] w+w^{\prime \prime} J_{0}+\left(2 J_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{J_{0}}{z}\right) w^{\prime} \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

The first term on the RHS vanishes. The second and third give the following linear, first-order, homogeneous o.d.e for $w^{\prime}(z)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d w^{\prime}}{d z} & =-\left(\frac{2 J_{0}^{\prime}}{J_{0}}+\frac{1}{z}\right) w^{\prime} \\
w^{\prime} & \left.=e^{-\left(2 \ln J_{0}+\ln z\right.}\right) \quad \text { hence } \\
w(z) & =\int_{z_{0}}^{z} \frac{d u}{u\left(J_{0}(u)\right)^{2}} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.4 Bessel functions: contd.

- Let us calculate the Wronskian of $J_{\nu}(z), J_{-\nu}(z)$ when $\nu$ is s not an integer. Note that as $z \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\nu}(z) & \simeq \frac{z^{\nu}}{2^{\nu} \Gamma(\nu+1)} \\
J_{-\nu}(z) & \simeq \frac{z^{-\nu}}{2^{-\nu} \Gamma(-\nu+1)} \\
W\left(J_{\nu}, J_{-\nu}\right) & =-\frac{2 \nu}{z} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu+1) \Gamma(-\nu+1)}=-\frac{2 \sin \pi \nu}{\pi z}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the property of the Gamma function. This shows that $J_{\nu}, J_{-\nu}$ form a fundamental set of solutions when $\nu$ is not an integer. Note also that from Eq.(12), the series, considered as a function of the order, $\nu$ is an entire function for any fixed $z \neq 0$. Thus it can be differentiated with respect to $\nu$ and will satisfy the equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2}}{d z^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial J_{\nu}}{\partial \nu}\right)+\frac{1}{z} \frac{d}{d z}\left(\frac{\partial J_{\nu}}{\partial \nu}\right)+\left(1-\nu^{2}\right) \frac{\partial J_{\nu}}{\partial \nu}=\frac{2 \nu}{z^{2}} J_{\nu} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Plainly, by changing $\nu \rightarrow-\nu$, we see that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2}}{d z^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial J_{-\nu}}{\partial \nu}\right)+\frac{1}{z} \frac{d}{d z}\left(\frac{\partial J_{-\nu}}{\partial \nu}\right)+\left(1-\nu^{2}\right) \frac{\partial J_{-\nu}}{\partial \nu}=\frac{2 \nu}{z^{2}} J_{-\nu} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.5 The second solution for integer $\nu$

- Although the "trick" used earlier for integer $\nu$ works and shows that the second solution has a logarithmic branch point at $z=0$, there is a different method used by Hankel. We note that if $\nu=n$ is an integer, $J_{-n}(z)=(-1)^{n} J_{n}(z)$. This can be proved using Eq.(12) and the fact that $\frac{1}{\Gamma(p)}=0$ for $p=0,-1,-2,-3, \ldots$ Now, define, for non-integer values of $\nu$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{\nu}(z)=\frac{J_{\nu}(z) \cos \nu \pi-J_{-\nu}(z)}{\sin \nu \pi} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using previous results, we see that, $W\left(J_{\nu}, Y_{\nu}\right)=\frac{2}{\pi z}$. Hence $J_{\nu}, Y_{\nu}$ form a fundamental set. If $\nu \rightarrow n$, an integer, it is seen that the numerator and denominator vanish. We can apply L'Hospital's rule and write,

$$
\begin{align*}
Y_{n}(z) & =\operatorname{Lim}_{\nu \rightarrow n} Y_{\nu}(z) \\
& =\frac{1}{\pi}\left[\frac{\partial J_{\nu}}{\partial \nu}-(-1)^{n} \frac{\partial J_{-\nu}}{\partial \nu}\right]_{\nu=n} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easily shown from Equations $(15,16)$ that $Y_{n}(z)$ is indeed a solution of Eq.(7) for integer $n$. It also follows by continuity that $W\left(J_{n}, Y_{n}\right)=\frac{2}{\pi z}$.

# 3.6 Summary: Frobenius-Fuchs Theorem 

O Theorem 14.2: The second-order linear o.d.e Eq.(2) is said to have a regular singularity at $z=z_{0}$, if $p(z)$ has at most a simple pole and/or $q(z)$ a double pole. Then, 1 : The equation has two linearly independent solutions in a "punctured disk" around $z_{0}$ of the forms, $y_{1}(z)=\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{c_{1}}\left[1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{n}\right], y_{2}=\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{c_{2}}\left[1+\Sigma_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{n}\right]$ where $c_{1}, c_{2} ; \operatorname{Re}\left(c_{1}\right) \geq \operatorname{Re}\left(c_{2}\right)$ are the roots of the indicial equation at $z=z_{0}$, obtained by substituting the series into the equation, provided the exponents $c_{1,2}$ do not differ by a positive integer or zero. 2. If $s=c_{1}-c_{2}$ is a positive integer or zero, the second solution must take the form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{2}(z)=\lambda y_{1}(z) \ln \left(z-z_{0}\right)+\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{c_{2}}\left[1+\Sigma_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{n}\right] \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is determined by $p, q$. Both series converge uniformly to analytic (but not generally single-valued) functions in disk around $z_{0}$ extending to the nearest singularity of the equation to $z_{0}$.
To understand the behaviour at $\infty$ we put $z=1 / u ;\left(-\frac{d u}{u^{2}}=d z\right)$. Then we get,

$$
\begin{aligned}
u^{2} \frac{d}{d u}\left[u^{2} \frac{d y}{d u}\right]-u^{3} \frac{d y}{d u}+\left(1-\nu^{2} u^{2}\right) y & =0 \\
y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{u} y^{\prime}+\left[\frac{1}{u^{4}}-\frac{\nu^{2}}{u^{2}}\right] y & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Infinity (ie, $u=0$ ) is therefore an irregular singularity of the Bessel equation.

