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These are expository lectures on the "big Cohen-Macaulay algebras" conjecture (Hochster) and its proof in the prime characteristic case.

Previous lecture: the conjecture and some applications.

This lecture: proof by Huneke and Lyubeznik in the prime characteristic case that the absolute integral closure is a big Cohen-Macaulay algebra.

## Statement

Local cohomology, 1 Sketch

Main Theorem
Local cohomology, 2
Quick overview
Step 2 of the proof Local duality
Step 1 of the proof

Separability

## Statement

Throughout this talk $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is a noetherian local ring.

## Statement

Throughout this talk $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is a noetherian local ring.

## Definition

An $R$-algebra $S$ is said to be a balanced (big) Cohen-Macaulay $R$-algebra if every system of parameters of $R$ is an $S$-regular sequence.

## Statement

Throughout this talk $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is a noetherian local ring.

## Definition

An $R$-algebra $S$ is said to be a balanced (big) Cohen-Macaulay $R$-algebra if every system of parameters of $R$ is an $S$-regular sequence.

## Definition

Let $R$ be a domain. The absolute integral closure $R^{+}$of $R$ is the integral closure of $R$ in an algebraic closure of its fraction field.
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Let $I=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)$ be an $R$-ideal.
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Define $I$-torsion functor $\Gamma_{l}(-)$ on $R$-modules by

$$
\Gamma_{l}(M):=\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left(0: M I^{n}\right)
$$

Left-exact, covariant functor.

Its right-derived functors $\mathrm{H}_{l}^{i}(-), i \in \mathbb{N}$ are called local cohomology functors (with support in I).
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Write $I_{t}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{t}\right) R, 1 \leq t \leq d$.
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Note that

$$
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Therefore

$$
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ETST each map in the directed system $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{i}(S)\right\}$ eventually is zero.
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where the maps come (up to a sign) localisation maps.

Fact: For all $R$-modules $M$,
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$$
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\text { if } \sqrt{I}=\sqrt{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)}
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Hence it induces a map of complexes $F: \check{C}^{\bullet}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \rightarrow \check{C}^{\bullet}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)$ and on $F: \mathrm{H}_{l}^{i}(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{l}^{i}(R)$.
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Then $\alpha^{p}:=F(\alpha)$ is the element of $\mathrm{H}_{l}^{i}(R)$ represented by the cycle
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Write $\alpha^{p^{e}}$ for $F^{e}(\alpha)$, eth iterate of $F$.
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First find a finite $S$-subalgebra $\tilde{S}$ of $R^{+}=S^{+}$such that
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\operatorname{Im}\left(H_{m}^{i}(S) \rightarrow H_{m}^{i}(\tilde{S})\right)
$$

is a finitely generated (equiv. finite-length) $R$-module.
The map $S \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ is compatible with the Frobenius maps.
So is the map $H_{m}^{i}(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{i}(\tilde{S})$.
Hence

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{i}(S) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{i}(\tilde{S})\right)
$$

is stable under Frobenius.

Since

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{i}(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{i}(\tilde{S})\right)
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is finitely generated module, one proves that there exists a finite $\tilde{S}$-subalgebra $S^{\prime}$ of $R^{+}$such that the composite map
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Since

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{i}(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{i}(\tilde{S})\right)
$$

is finitely generated module, one proves that there exists a finite $\tilde{S}$-subalgebra $S^{\prime}$ of $R^{+}$such that the composite map
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Let $R$ be a noetherian domain of characteristic $p>0$. Let I be an $R$-ideal and $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}_{l}^{i}(R)$ be an element such that $\left\{\alpha^{p^{e}} \mid e \geq 0\right\}$ belong to a finitely generated submodule of $\mathrm{H}_{l}^{i}(R)$. Then there exists a finite $R$-subalgebra $R^{\prime}$ of $R^{+}$such that $\alpha$ goes to zero under the map
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\mathrm{H}_{l}^{i}(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{l}^{i}\left(R^{\prime}\right) .
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such that
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Define
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$\bar{\alpha}$ represents the image of $\alpha$ under the natural map $\mathrm{H}_{l}^{i}(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{l}^{i}\left(R^{\prime \prime}\right)$.
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Entries of $\bar{\alpha}$ when thought of as $\binom{d}{i}$-tuple are integral over $R$.

Adjoin them to $R^{\prime \prime}$ to get $R^{\prime}$.

Can show that $\bar{\alpha}$ is a boundary in $\check{C}^{\bullet}\left(R^{\prime}\right)$.

Hence $\alpha$ goes to zero in $\mathrm{H}_{l}^{i}\left(R^{\prime}\right)$.

This outlines Step 2 of the proof.
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where $\mathcal{D}$ is the Matlis duality functor

$$
\mathcal{D}(-)=\operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(-, E_{A}(A / \mathfrak{n})\right)
$$

$\left(E_{A}(A / \mathfrak{n})=\right.$ injective hull of $A / \mathfrak{n}$ as an $A$-module $)$.
$\mathcal{D}$ is an exact functor, and takes finite-length $A$-modules to finite-length $A$-modules.
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Equivalently,
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is a finite-length $R$ - (or $A$-) module.
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Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} A, \mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{n}$.
The result holds for $R_{\mathrm{p}}$, by induction on dimension. (If $\operatorname{dim} R=0$, it is a field, and the theorem holds.)

Hence there exists a finite $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$-algebra $S^{\prime \mathfrak{p}}$ such that the map

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{p} R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i}\left(S_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{p} R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i}\left(S^{\prime \mathfrak{p}}\right)
$$

is zero.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Superscript } \mathfrak{p} \text { to } \\
& \text { emphasise depen- } \\
& \text { dence on } \mathfrak{p} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Matlis duality for $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ gives that the map

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{\mathrm{ht} \mathfrak{p}-i}\left(S^{\prime \mathfrak{p}}, A_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{\mathrm{tt} \mathfrak{p}-i}\left(S_{\mathfrak{p}}, A_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)
$$

is zero.
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Clear denominators to get a finite $S$-subalgebra $\tilde{S}^{\text {p }}$ of $R^{+}$such that

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{n-i}\left(\tilde{S}^{\mathfrak{p}}, A\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{n-i}(S, A)\right)
$$

is not supported at $\mathfrak{p}$.
Do this for each $\mathfrak{p}$ in the finite set

$$
\operatorname{Ass}_{A} \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{n-i}(S, A) \backslash\{\mathfrak{n}\}
$$

to get a finite $S$-subalgebra $\tilde{S}$ of $R^{+}$such that

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{n-i}(\tilde{S}, A) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{n-i}(S, A)\right)
$$

is not supported at $\mathfrak{p}$ for each $\mathfrak{p}$ in that finite set of primes.
In other words,

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{n-i}(\tilde{S}, A) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{n-i}(S, A)\right)
$$

has finite length.

## Separability

## Theorem (Sannai-Singh [SS12])

Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a d-dimensional local domain of characteristic $p>0$, that is a homomorphic image of a Gorenstein local ring. Let $i<d$.

1. [SS12, Theorem 1.3(2)] Let $S$ be a finite $R$-subalgebra of $R^{+}$. Then there exists a finite $S$-subalgebra $S^{\prime}$ of $R^{+}$such that the map

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{i}(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{i}\left(S^{\prime}\right)
$$

is zero and the field extension $\left[\operatorname{Frac}\left(S^{\prime}\right): \operatorname{Frac}(S)\right]$ is Galois.
2. [SS12, Corollary 3.3] Write $R^{+ \text {sep }}$ for the elements of $R^{+}$separable over $\operatorname{Frac}(R)$. Then $\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{i}\left(R^{+ \text {sep }}\right)=0$. Consequently, $R^{+ \text {sep }}$ is a balanced big Cohen-Macaulay algebra.
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